

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	Examiner Frederick J. Parker		Art Unit 1762	

All Participants: (1) Frederick J. Parker. (3) _____
 (2) Dag Ringel (4) _____

Date of Interview: 5/3/19; 6/9/21; 7/5; 7/11 **Time:** 11A

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:


 Claims discussed:

 Prior art documents discussed: CritiTech brochure 6/5/02

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

s/p: The Examiner contacted Mr Ringel to discuss IDS which arrived to Examiner AFTER N/A was issued; Exr advised Mr Ringel not to pay issue fee + consult w/ Applicants to find subject matter to make claims allowable over CritiTech brochure. Subsequent calls repeated this message but without response. Message on 7/5/05 told Mr. Ringel that as time was growing close, he needed to contact me by 7/15/05, or case would go to SPE for withdrawal of Allowance.
 As of 7/11/05 PM, no response from Mr Ringel.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)